August 07, 2003

Dear Ms. Marlene S. Dortch:

RE: CG Docket No. 02-278

As member of the NATIONAL ASSOCIATION OF REALTORS® (NAR), I am writing to convey my wholehearted support for NAR s Request for Emergency Stay of Facsimile Advertisement Rules in the above referenced docket. The FCC s unexpected decision to remove the established business relationship exception from the unsolicited fax advertisements rules severely interferes with my day-to-day business as a real estate professional. Furthermore, the rules hinder the types of communication that my national, state and local REALTOR® associations routinely send via facsimile, including information on conventions or continuing education classes, which is a benefit that I expect as a dues paying member.

NAR in its Request for Emergency Stay of Facsimile Advertisement Rules has outlined the detrimental impact that these rules will have on its over 900,000 members and on the operations of over 3.5 million professionals in the real estate industry. I echo NAR s position and emphasize that it will be extremely difficult to obtain the required written consent to send faxes to my customers, vendors and real estate colleagues in the timeframe set by the FCC. It will also be difficult for my local board, state association, and national association to seek and obtain written consent from their respective membership in less than thirty days, in order to prevent an interruption in their ability to fax important information about upcoming conferences, promotions and other events. As a REALTOR® member, I rely on this timely and effective means of communication. It is unreasonable to think that an association can seek and obtain consent from almost 1 million members by August 25, 2003.

Today, there are over 2 million U.S. homes in the sales pipeline. Communicating via facsimiles is instrumental to ensuring a timely and successful transaction. An effective date of August 25, 2003, can potentially delay the majority of these transactions. To secure the appropriate written consent will significantly impact homebuyers and home sellers ability to move promptly in highly competitive housing markets. It will take time to adapt to this new requirement; businesses will have to amend current practices to gain this new consent from consumers and vendors.

It is in this regard that I urge the FCC to stay the effective date of the unanticipated and unprecedented fax rules for one year so that the required written consent form can be incorporated into the annual dues billing cycle. This is the most effective method for providing express written consent to NAR and my state and local REALTOR® associations. The stay is also essential in order to institute the required compliance procedures for fax communications with my clients and other businesses to ensure minimal disruptions in real estate transactions.

Thank you for your attention and consideration in this matter.

Sincerely,

JANE SORDYL 54 TOPHILL LANE SPRINGFIELD, IL 62704-4386

RECEIVED & INSPECTED

AUG 7 2nna

FCC - MAILROOM

August 07, 2003

Dear Ms. Marlene S. Dortch:

RE: CG Docket No. 02-278

As member of the NATIONAL ASSOCIATION OF REALTORS® (NAR), I am writing to convey my wholehearted support for NAR s Request for Emergency Stay of Facsimile Advertisement Rules in the above referenced docket. The FCC s unexpected decision to remove the established business relationship exception from the unsolicited fax advertisements rules severely interferes with my day-to-day business as a real estate professional. Furthermore, the rules hinder the types of communication that my national, state and local REALTOR® associations routinely send via facsimile, including information on conventions or continuing education classes, which is a benefit that I expect as a dues paying member.

NAR in its Request for Emergency Stay of Facsimile Advertisement Rules has outlined the detrimental impact that these rules will have on its over 900,000 members and on the operations of over 3.5 million professionals in the real estate industry. I echo NAR s position and emphasize that it will be extremely difficult to obtain the required written consent to send faxes to my customers, vendors and real estate colleagues in the timeframe set by the FCC. It will also be difficult for my local board, state association, and national association to seek and obtain written consent from their respective membership in less than thirty days, in order to prevent an interruption in their ability to fax important information about upcoming conferences, promotions and other events. As a REALTOR® member, I rely on this timely and effective means of communication. It is unreasonable to think that an association can seek and obtain consent from almost 1 million members by August 25, 2003.

Today, there are over 2 million U.S. homes in the sales pipeline. Communicating via facsimiles is instrumental to ensuring a timely and successful transaction. An effective date of August 25, 2003, can potentially delay the majority of these transactions. To secure the appropriate written consent will significantly impact homebuyers and home sellers ability to move promptly in highly competitive housing markets. It will take time to adapt to this new requirement; businesses will have to amend current practices to gain this new consent from consumers and vendors.

It is in this regard that I urge the FCC to stay the effective date of the unanticipated and unprecedented fax rules for one year so that the required written consent form can be incorporated into the annual dues billing cycle. This is the most effective method for providing express written consent to NAR and my state and local REALTOR® associations. The stay is also essential in order to institute the required compliance procedures for fax communications with my clients and other businesses to ensure minimal disruptions in real estate transactions.

Thank you for your attention and consideration in this matter.

I can not imagine how expensive and invasive it will be to have FAXING POLICE. We will open ourselves to added litigation!

FAXING is a standard in the business communication. It is an EXPECTED convenience.

I remember when XEROX was considered a massive invasion of privacy. We can not imagine the business world today without the use of Xerox copying.

FAXING is just as important!

Progress is a little uncomfortable at times—but adapting and growing is essential!

Getting written permission is impractical. If someone does not want a FAX, they can turn off their machine until they are ready to receive a FAX from a known party.

Shirley Tatum, REALTOR Stroman Realty 14500 Hwy 105 West Conroe, Texas 77304

Sincerely,

Dana Simons 3693 Southwest Freeway Houston, TX 77027-7500 August 07, 2003

Dear Ms. Marlene S. Dortch:

RE: CG Docket No. 02-278

As member of the NATIONAL ASSOCIATION OF REALTORS® (NAR), I am writing to convey my wholehearted support for NAR s Request for Emergency Stay of Facsimile Advertisement Rules in the above referenced docket. The FCC s unexpected decision to remove the established business relationship exception from the unsolicited fax advertisements rules severely interferes with my day-to-day business as a real estate professional. Furthermore, the rules hinder the types of communication that my national, state and local REALTOR® associations routinely send via facsimile, including information on conventions or continuing education classes, which is a benefit that I expect as a dues paying member.

NAR in its Request for Emergency Stay of Facsimile Advertisement Rules has outlined the detrimental impact that these rules will have on its over 900,000 members and on the operations of over 3.5 million professionals in the real estate industry. I echo NAR s position and emphasize that it will be extremely difficult to obtain the required written consent to send faxes to my customers, vendors and real estate colleagues in the timeframe set by the FCC. It will also be difficult for my local board, state association, and national association to seek and obtain written consent from their respective membership in less than thirty days, in order to prevent an interruption in their ability to fax important information about upcoming conferences, promotions and other events. As a REALTOR® member, I rely on this timely and effective means of communication. It is unreasonable to think that an association can seek and obtain consent from almost 1 million members by August 25, 2003.

Today, there are over 2 million U.S. homes in the sales pipeline. Communicating via facsimiles is instrumental to ensuring a timely and successful transaction. An effective date of August 25, 2003, can potentially delay the majority of these transactions. To secure the appropriate written consent will significantly impact homebuyers and home sellers ability to move promptly in highly competitive housing markets. It will take time to adapt to this new requirement; businesses will have to amend current practices to gain this new consent from consumers and vendors.

It is in this regard that I urge the FCC to stay the effective date of the unanticipated and unprecedented fax rules for one year so that the required written consent form can be incorporated into the annual dues billing cycle. This is the most effective method for providing express written consent to NAR and my state and local REALTOR® associations. The stay is also essential in order to institute the required compliance procedures for fax communications with my clients and other businesses to ensure minimal disruptions in real estate transactions.

Dear Ms. Marlene S. Dortch

RE: CG Docket No. 02-278

As member of the NATIONAL ASSOCIATION OF REALTORS® (NAR), I am writing to convey my wholehearted support for NAR s Request for Emergency Stay of Facsimile Advertisement Rules in the above referenced docket. The FCC s unexpected decision to remove the established business relationship exception from the unsolicited fax advertisements rules severely interferes with my day-to-day business as a real estate professional. Furthermore, the rules hinder the types of communication that my national, state and local REALTOR® associations routinely send via facsimile, including information on conventions or continuing education classes, which is a benefit that I expect as a dues paying member.

NAR in its Request for Emergency Stay of Facsimile Advertisement Rules has outlined the detrimental impact that these rules will have on its over 900,000 members and on the operations of over 3.5 million professionals in the real estate industry. I echo NAR s position and emphasize that it will be extremely difficult to obtain the required written consent to send faxes to my customers, vendors and real estate colleagues in the timeframe set by the FCC. It will also be difficult for my local board, state association, and national association to seek and obtain written consent from their respective membership in less than thirty days, in order to prevent an interruption in their ability to fax important information about upcoming conferences, promotions and other events. As a REALTOR® member, I rely on this timely and effective means of communication. It is unreasonable to think that an association can seek and obtain consent from almost 1 million members by August 25, 2003.

Today, there are over 2 million U.S. homes in the sales pipeline. Communicating via facsimiles is instrumental to ensuring a timely and successful transaction. An effective date of August 25, 2003, can potentially delay the majority of these transactions. To secure the appropriate written consent will significantly impact homebuyers and home sellers ability to move promptly in highly competitive housing markets. It will take time to adapt to this new requirement; businesses will have to amend current practices to gain this new consent from consumers and vendors.

It is in this regard that I urge the FCC to stay the effective date of the unanticipated and unprecedented fax rules for one year so that the required written consent form can be incorporated into the annual dues billing cycle. This is the most effective method for providing express written consent to NAR and my state and local REALTOR® associations. The stay is also essential in order to institute the required compliance procedures for fax communications with my clients and other businesses to ensure minimal disruptions in real estate transactions Thank you for your attention and consideration in this matter.

Sincerely,

Mark Davison 648 Hall St. Manchester NH 03104

Sincerely,

Deborah Proulx 66 Giloreast Road Londonderry, NH 03053-3562 August 07, 2003

Dear Ms. Marlene S. Dortch:

RE: CG Docket No. 02-278

As member of the NATIONAL ASSOCIATION OF REALTORS® (NAR), I am writing to convey my wholehearted support for NAR s Request for Emergency Stay of Facsimile Advertisement Rules in the above referenced docket. The FCC s unexpected decision to remove the established business relationship exception from the unsolicited fax advertisements rules severely interferes with my day-to-day business as a real estate professional. Furthermore, the rules hinder the types of communication that my national, state and local REALTOR® associations routinely send via facsimile, including information on conventions or continuing education classes, which is a benefit that I expect as a dues paying member.

NAR in its Request for Emergency Stay of Facsimile Advertisement Rules has outlined the detrimental impact that these rules will have on its over 900,000 members and on the operations of over 3.5 million professionals in the real estate industry. I echo NAR s position and emphasize that it will be extremely difficult to obtain the required written consent to send faxes to my customers, vendors and real estate colleagues in the timeframe set by the FCC. It will also be difficult for my local board, state association, and national association to seek and obtain written consent from their respective membership in less than thirty days, in order to prevent an interruption in their ability to fax important information about upcoming conferences, promotions and other events. As a REALTOR® member, I rely on this timely and effective means of communication. It is unreasonable to think that an association can seek and obtain consent from almost 1 million members by August 25, 2003.

Today, there are over 2 million U.S. homes in the sales pipeline. Communicating via facsimiles is instrumental to ensuring a timely and successful transaction. An effective date of August 25, 2003, can potentially delay the majority of these transactions. To secure the appropriate written consent will significantly impact homebuyers and home sellers ability to move promptly in highly competitive housing markets. It will take time to adapt to this new requirement; businesses will have to amend current practices to gain this new consent from consumers and vendors.

It is in this regard that I urge the FCC to stay the effective date of the unanticipated and unprecedented fax rules for one year so that the required written consent form can be incorporated into the annual dues billing cycle. This is the most effective method for providing express written consent to NAR and my state and local REALTOR® associations. The stay is also essential in order to institute the required compliance procedures for fax communications with my clients and other businesses to ensure minimal disruptions in real estate transactions.

Thank you for your attention and consideration in this matter.

As President of the 8500 member St. Louis Association of Realtors, I must say that I am troubled by the short-sightedness of this order, particularly as to how it can adversely affect our industry which has been a shinning light in an otherwise anemic economy. I don't want to receive unsolicited junk faxes, phone calls or, in fact, email but this short notice rule is totally out of line with the real world way to deal with unsolicited faxes. The rule creates an intolerable hardship on Realtors and consumers, especially if we have to react within such a short time frame. I am asking that you give the implementation of this rule sufficient time as requested in this communication. As noted, the logical time for associations to achieve the necessary consent from the membership is at the time of dues payment, typically during the first quarter of a calendar year. Also, even much more time is needed to deal with whatever is needed accomplish consumer consent on a routine bas is, such as forms changes on a massive scale.

And regarding the Do Not Call Registry, without relief in the form of an exemption, Realtors and other real estate practitioners will be unable to conduct business. If we cannot call a consumer to set an appointment, we cannot sell one consumer's property to another consumer. There is a lot more to say about this part of the order but if a delay in implementation can be forthcoming for the fax portion of the rule, the No Call portion can also be dealt with during that time frame.

Thank You!

Sincerely,

Dan Kendrick 126 Ridgecrest Ct. Chesterfield, MO 63017-2694

Dear Ms. Marlene S. Dortch:

RE: CG Docket No. 02-278

As member of the NATIONAL ASSOCIATION OF REALTORS® (NAR), I am writing to convey my wholehearted support for NAR s Request for Emergency Stay of Faosimile Advertisement Rules in the above referenced docket. The FCC s unexpected decision to remove the established business relationship exception from the unsolicited fax advertisements rules severely interferes with my day-to-day business as a real estate professional. Furthermore, the rules hinder the types of communication that my national, state and local REALTOR® associations routinely send via facsimile, including information on conventions or continuing education classes, which is a benefit that I expect as a dues paying member.

NAR in its Request for Emergency Stay of Facsimile Advertisement Rules has outlined the detrimental impact that these rules will have on its over 900,000 members and on the operations of over 3.5 million professionals in the real estate industry. I echo NAR s position and emphasize that it will be extremely difficult to obtain the required written consent to send faxes to my customers, vendors and real estate colleagues in the timeframe set by the FCC. It will also be difficult for my local board, state association, and national association to seek and obtain written consent from their respective membership in less than thirty days, in order to prevent an interruption in their ability to fax important information about upcoming conferences, promotions and other events. As a REALTOR® member, I rely on this timely and effective means of communication. It is unreasonable to think that an association can seek and obtain consent from almost 1 million members by August 25, 2003.

Today, there are over 2 million U.S. homes in the sales pipeline. Communicating via facsimiles is instrumental to ensuring a timely and successful transaction. An effective date of August 25, 2003, can potentially delay the majority of these transactions. To secure the appropriate written consent will significantly impact homebuyers and home sellers ability to move promptly in highly competitive housing markets. It will take time to adapt to this new requirement; businesses will have to amend current practices to gain this new consent from consumers and vendors.

It is in this regard that I urge the FCC to stay the effective date of the unanticipated and unprecedented fax rules for one year so that the required written consent form can be incorporated into the annual dues billing cycle. This is the most effective method for providing express written consent to NAR and my state and local REALTOR® associations. The stay is also essential in order to institute the required compliance procedures for fax communications with my clients and other businesses to ensure minimal disruptions in real estate transactions.

August 06, 2003 Dear Ms. Marlene S. Dortch

RE: CG Docket No. 02-278

As member of the NATIONAL ASSOCIATION OF REALTORS® (NAR), I am writing to convey my wholehearted support for NAR s Request for Emergency Stay of Facsimile Advertisement Rules in the above referenced docket. The FCC s unexpected decision to remove the established business relationship exception from the unsolicited fax advertisements rules severely interferes with my day-to-day business as a real estate professional. Furthermore, the rules hinder the types of communication that my national, state and local REALTOR® associations routinely send via facsimile, including information on conventions or continuing education classes, which is a benefit that I expect as a

dues paying member.

NAR in its Request for Emergency Stay of Facsimile Advertisement Rules has outlined the detrimental impact that these rules will have on its over 900,000 members and on the operations of over 3.5 million professionals in the real estate industry. I echo NAR s position and emphasize that it will be extremely difficult to obtain the required written consent to send faxes to my customers, vendors and real estate colleagues in the timeframe set by the FCC. It will also be difficult for my local board, state association, and national association to seek and obtain written consent from their respective membership in less than thirty days, in order to prevent an interruption in their ability to fax important information about upcoming conferences, promotions and other events. As a REALTOR® member, I rely on this timely and effective means of communication. It is unreasonable to think that an association can seek and obtain consent from almost 1 million members by August 25, 2003.

Today, there are over 2 million U.S. homes in the sales pipeline. Communicating via facsimiles is instrumental to ensuring a timely and successful transaction. An effective date of August 25, 2003, can potentially delay the majority of these transactions. To secure the appropriate written consent will significantly impact homebuyers and home sellers ability to move promptly in highly competitive housing markets. It will take time to adapt to this new requirement; businesses will have to amend current practices to gain this new consent from consumers and vendors.

It is in this regard that I urge the FCC to stay the effective date of the unanticipated and unprecedented fax rules for one year so that the required written consent form can be incorporated into the annual dues billing cycle. This is the most effective method for providing express written consent to NAR and my state and local REALTOR® associations. The stay is also essential in order to institute the required compliance procedures for fax communications with my clients and other businesses to ensure minimal disruptions in real estate transactions.

Thank you for your attention and consideration in this matter, sorry for the duplicate faxes (probably not helping the case...)

Sincerely,

Todd Denkmann 12401 Old Meridian St. Carmel, IN 46032-8713 Aug 07 2003 16:15:29 Via Fax -> FCC/OSEC 2024180187 1 TO Dear Ms. Marle Page 001 Of 002

August 06, 2003

Dear Ms. Marlene S. Dortch:

RE: CG Docket No. 02-278

As member of the NATIONAL ASSOCIATION OF REALTORS® (NAR), I am writing to convey my wholehearted support for NAR s Request for Emergency Stay of Facsimile Advertisement Rules in the above referenced docket. The FCC s unexpected decision to remove the established business relationship exception from the unsolicited fax advertisements rules severely interferes with my day-to-day business as a real estate professional. Furthermore, the rules hinder the types of communication that my national, state and local REALTOR® associations routinely send via facsimile, including information on conventions or continuing education classes, which is a benefit that I expect as a dues paying member.

NAR in its Request for Emergency Stay of Facsimile Advertisement Rules has outlined the detrimental impact that these rules will have on its over 900,000 members and on the operations of over 3.5 million professionals in the real estate industry. I echo NAR s position and emphasize that it will be extremely difficult to obtain the required written consent to send faxes to my customers, vendors and real estate colleagues in the timeframe set by the FCC. It will also be difficult for my local board, state association, and national association to seek and obtain written consent from their respective membership in less than thirty days, in order to prevent an interruption in their ability to fax important information about upcoming conferences, promotions and other events. As a REALTOR® member, I rely on this timely and effective means of communication. It is unreasonable to think that an association can seek and obtain consent from almost 1 million members by August 25, 2003.

Today, there are over 2 million U.S. homes in the sales pipeline. Communicating via facsimiles is instrumental to ensuring a timely and successful transaction. An effective date of August 25, 2003, can potentially delay the majority of these transactions. To secure the appropriate written consent will significantly impact homebuyers and home sellers ability to move promptly in highly competitive housing markets. It will take time to adapt to this new requirement; businesses will have to amend current practices to gain this new consent from consumers and vendors.

It is in this regard that I urge the FCC to stay the effective date of the unanticipated and unprecedented fax rules for one year so that the required written consent form can be incorporated into the annual dues billing cycle. This is the most effective method for providing express written consent to NAR and my state and local REALTOR® associations. The stay is also essential in order to institute the required compliance procedures for fax communications with my clients and other businesses to ensure minimal disruptions in real estate transactions.

To whom it may concern,

Please grant the emergency stay of the new FCC rules as requested by the National Association of Realtors.

These rules would establish a penalizing and overwhelming added paper load and waisted time in trying to conduct the business of Real Estate. We depend on the use of the FAX to relay information, to transmit contracts, etc. Written permission to send the fax would overwhelmingly stiffle the value of the FAX machine and propel us back to the 1970's where everything had to be delivered by hand.

Sincerely,

Received 08/07/2003 04:10PM in 01:10 on line [39] for OSECFILINGS * Pg 2/2

Aug 07 2003 16:16:07 Via Fax -> FCC/OSEC 2024180187 1 TO Dear Ms. Marle Page 002 Of 002

GREG JANSEN 333 WADE HAMPTON BOULEVARD GREENVILLE, SC 29609-5738 Aug 07 2003 17:20:45 Via Fax -> FCC/OSEC 2024180187 1 TO Dear Ms. Marle Page 001 Of 002

August 07, 2003

Dear Ms. Marlene S. Dortoh:

RE: CG Docket No. 02-278

As member of the NATIONAL ASSOCIATION OF REALTORS® (NAR), I am writing to convey my wholehearted support for NAR s Request for Emergency Stay of Facsimile Advertisement Rules in the above referenced docket. The FCC s unexpected decision to remove the established business relationship exception from the unsolicited fax advertisements rules severely interferes with my day—to—day business as a real estate professional. Furthermore, the rules hinder the types of communication that my national, state and local REALTOR® associations routinely send via facsimile, including information on conventions or continuing education classes, which is a benefit that I expect as a dues paying member.

NAR in its Request for Emergency Stay of Facsimile Advertisement Rules has outlined the detrimental impact that these rules will have on its over 900,000 members and on the operations of over 3.5 million professionals in the real estate industry. I echo NAR s position and emphasize that it will be extremely difficult to obtain the required written consent to send faxes to my customers, vendors and real estate colleagues in the timeframe set by the FCC. It will also be difficult for my local board, state association, and national association to seek and obtain written consent from their respective membership in less than thirty days, in order to prevent an interruption in their ability to fax important information about upcoming conferences, promotions and other events. As a REALTOR® member, I rely on this timely and effective means of communication. It is unreasonable to think that an association can seek and obtain consent from almost 1 million members by August 25, 2003.

Today, there are over 2 million U.S. homes in the sales pipeline. Communicating via facsimiles is instrumental to ensuring a timely and successful transaction. An effective date of August 25, 2003, can potentially delay the majority of these transactions. To secure the appropriate written consent will significantly impact homebuyers and home sellers ability to move promptly in highly competitive housing markets. It will take time to adapt to this new requirement; businesses will have to amend current practices to gain this new consent from consumers and vendors.

It is in this regard that I urge the FCC to stay the effective date of the unanticipated and unprecedented fax rules for one year so that the required written consent form can be incorporated into the annual dues billing cycle. This is the most effective method for providing express written consent to NAR and my state and local REALTOR® associations. The stay is also essential in order to institute the required compliance procedures for fax communications with my clients and other businesses to ensure minimal disruptions in real estate transactions.

Frankly, these fax rules should never have been adopted. I cannot imaging the volume of unsolicited faxes vs. legitimate business use that would prompt such a ruling. In the past several decades the fax machine has become an important business tool, and the volume of business conducted in the U.S. via fax must be staggering. These rules will surely cause unneccessary additional paperwork and certainly slow down business transactions over the entire country.

Perhaps a more intelligent method of achieving the desired result would be to arrive at penalties for violations of repeated offenders of unsolicited faxes, i.e. after having been warned to remove fax number from a "no-fax" list, and continuing such behaivor, a penalty would be sanctioned. Since all faxes contain a date and time stamp, proving the fact of receipt of fax after requesting removal of fax number from distribution list would be pretty easy.

Thank you for your attention and consideration in this matter.

Received 08/07/2003 05:15PM in 01:38 on line [40] for OSECFILINGS * Pg 2/2

Aug 07 2003 17:21:26 Via Fax -> FCC/OSEC 2024180187 1 TO Dear Ms. Marle Page 002 Of 002

Sincerely,

Heidi Dundas 2227 Santiam Hwy SE Albany, OR 97322

Dear Ms. Marlene S. Dortch:

RE: CG Docket No. 02-278

As member of the NATIONAL ASSOCIATION OF REALTORS® (NAR), I am writing to convey my wholehearted support for NAR s Request for Emergency Stay of Facsimile Advertisement Rules in the above referenced docket. The FCC s unexpected decision to remove the established business relationship exception from the unsolicited fax advertisements rules severely interferes with my day-to-day business as a real estate professional. Furthermore, the rules hinder the types of communication that my national, state and local REALTOR® associations routinely send via facsimile, including information on conventions or continuing education classes, which is a benefit that I expect as a dues paying member.

NAR in its Request for Emergency Stay of Facsimile Advertisement Rules has outlined the detrimental impact that these rules will have on its over 900,000 members and on the operations of over 3.5 million professionals in the real estate industry. I echo NAR s position and emphasize that it will be extremely difficult to obtain the required written consent to send faxes to my customers, vendors and real estate colleagues in the timeframe set by the FCC. It will also be difficult for my local board, state association, and national association to seek and obtain written consent from their respective membership in less than thirty days, in order to prevent an interruption in their ability to fax important information about upcoming conferences, promotions and other events. As a REALTOR® member, I rely on this timely and effective means of communication. It is unreasonable to think that an association can seek and obtain consent from almost 1 million members by August 25, 2003.

Today, there are over 2 million U.S. homes in the sales pipeline. Communicating via facsimiles is instrumental to ensuring a timely and successful transaction. An effective date of August 25, 2003, can potentially delay the majority of these transactions. To secure the appropriate written consent will significantly impact homebuyers and home sellers ability to move promptly in highly competitive housing markets. It will take time to adapt to this new requirement; businesses will have to amend current practices to gain this new consent from consumers and vendors.

It is in this regard that I urge the FCC to stay the effective date of the unanticipated and unprecedented fax rules for one year so that the required written consent form can be incorporated into the annual dues billing cycle. This is the most effective method for providing express written consent to NAR and my state and local REALTOR® associations. The stay is also essential in order to institute the required compliance procedures for fax communications with my clients and other businesses to ensure minimal disruptions in real estate transactions.

Thank you for your attention and consideration in this matter.

As REALTORS® we depend on communications with our Boards of REALTORS® and other organizations to keep abreast of the myriad changes in our industry as well as new products and services available to us. To this end, we join or otherwise affiliate with organizations and service providers who can meet our needs. It is unreasonable to place excessive burdens on our ability to send/receive communications with those with whom we have an existing relationship, not to mention the unreasonable record-keeping burdens such restrictions create for all of us.

The necessity to have a business relationship is sufficient to protect consumers from unsolicited "junk" faxes and undesired marketing phone calls. It seems to me that the added burden of requiring signed permission slips is overkill and is unneeded. It would be much better use of your resources to provide for the efficient receiving and processing of complaints when such unsolicited communications are received rather than

impeding communications between parties who already have a relationship.

Sincerely,

Dan Kuehl 1125 S Monaco Pkwy Denver, CO 80224-1809

Dear Ms. Marlene S. Dortch:

RE: CG Docket No. 02-278

As member of the NATIONAL ASSOCIATION OF REALTORS® (NAR), I am writing to convey my wholehearted support for NAR s Request for Emergency Stay of Facsimile Advertisement Rules in the above referenced docket. The FCC s unexpected decision to remove the established business relationship exception from the unsolicited fax advertisements rules severely interferes with my day-to-day business as a real estate professional. Furthermore, the rules hinder the types of communication that my national, state and local REALTOR® associations routinely send via facsimile, including information on conventions or continuing education classes, which is a benefit that I expect as a dues paying member.

NAR in its Request for Emergency Stay of Facsimile Advertisement Rules has outlined the detrimental impact that these rules will have on its over 900,000 members and on the operations of over 3.5 million professionals in the real estate industry. I echo NAR s position and emphasize that it will be extremely difficult to obtain the required written consent to send faxes to my customers, vendors and real estate colleagues in the timeframe set by the FCC. It will also be difficult for my local board, state association, and national association to seek and obtain written consent from their respective membership in less than thirty days, in order to prevent an interruption in their ability to fax important information about upcoming conferences, promotions and other events. As a REALTOR® member, I rely on this timely and effective means of communication. It is unreasonable to think that an association can seek and obtain consent from almost 1 million members by August 25, 2003.

Today, there are over 2 million U.S. homes in the sales pipeline. Communicating via facsimiles is instrumental to ensuring a timely and successful transaction. An effective date of August 25, 2003, can potentially delay the majority of these transactions. To secure the appropriate written consent will significantly impact homebuyers and home sellers ability to move promptly in highly competitive housing markets. It will take time to adapt to this new requirement; businesses will have to amend current practices to gain this new consent from consumers and vendors.

It is in this regard that I urge the FCC to stay the effective date of the unanticipated and unprecedented fax rules for one year so that the required written consent form can be incorporated into the annual dues billing cycle. This is the most effective method for providing express written consent to NAR and my state and local REALTOR® associations. The stay is also essential in order to institute the required compliance procedures for fax communications with my clients and other businesses to ensure minimal disruptions in real estate transactions.

Thank you for your attention and consideration in this matter.

Sincerely,

Scott Dineen 1785 rogero rd. jacksonville, FL 32211-4886 Aug 07 2003 03:54:52 Via Fax -> FCC/OSEC 2024180187 1 TO Dear Ms. Marle Page 001 Of 001

August 06, 2003

Dear Ms. Marlene S. Dortch:

RE: CG Docket No. 02-278

As member of the NATIONAL ASSOCIATION OF REALTORS® (NAR), I am writing to convey my wholehearted support for NAR s Request for Emergency Stay of Facsimile Advertisement Rules in the above referenced docket. The FCC s unexpected decision to remove the established business relationship exception from the unsolicited fax advertisements rules severely interferes with my day-to-day business as a real estate professional. Furthermore, the rules hinder the types of communication that my national, state and local REALTOR® associations routinely send via facsimile, including information on conventions or continuing education classes, which is a benefit that I expect as a dues paying member.

NAR in its Request for Emergency Stay of Facsimile Advertisement Rules has outlined the detrimental impact that these rules will have on its over 900,000 members and on the operations of over 3.5 million professionals in the real estate industry. I echo NAR s position and emphasize that it will be extremely difficult to obtain the required written consent to send faxes to my customers, vendors and real estate colleagues in the timeframe set by the FCC. It will also be difficult for my local board, state association, and national association to seek and obtain written consent from their respective membership in less than thirty days, in order to prevent an interruption in their ability to fax important information about upcoming conferences, promotions and other events. As a REALTOR® member, I rely on this timely and effective means of communication. It is unreasonable to think that an association can seek and obtain consent from almost 1 million members by August 25, 2003.

Today, there are over 2 million U.S. homes in the sales pipeline. Communicating via facsimiles is instrumental to ensuring a timely and successful transaction. An effective date of August 25, 2003, can potentially delay the majority of these transactions. To secure the appropriate written consent will significantly impact homebuyers and home sellers ability to move promptly in highly competitive housing markets. It will take time to adapt to this new requirement; businesses will have to amend current practices to gain this new consent from consumers and vendors.

It is in this regard that I urge the FCC to stay the effective date of the unanticipated and unprecedented fax rules for one year so that the required written consent form can be incorporated into the annual dues billing cycle. This is the most effective method for providing express written consent to NAR and my state and local REALTOR® associations. The stay is also essential in order to institute the required compliance procedures for fax communications with my clients and other businesses to ensure minimal disruptions in real estate transactions.

Thank you for your attention and consideration in this matter.

Sincerely,

Susan Park 8706 Hillcrest Cir Buena Park, CA 90621-1079

Dear Ms. Marlene S. Dortch:

RE: CG Docket No. 02-278

As member of the NATIONAL ASSOCIATION OF REALTORS® (NAR), I am writing to convey my wholehearted support for NAR s Request for Emergency Stay of Facsimile Advertisement Rules in the above referenced docket. The FCC s unexpected decision to remove the established business relationship exception from the unsolicited fax advertisements rules severely interferes with my day-to-day business as a real estate professional. Furthermore, the rules hinder the types of communication that my national, state and local REALTOR® associations routinely send via facsimile, including information on conventions or continuing education classes, which is a benefit that I expect as a dues paying member.

NAR in its Request for Emergency Stay of Facsimile Advertisement Rules has outlined the detrimental impact that these rules will have on its over 900,000 members and on the operations of over 3.5 million professionals in the real estate industry. I echo NAR s position and emphasize that it will be extremely difficult to obtain the required written consent to send faxes to my customers, vendors and real estate colleagues in the timeframe set by the FCC. It will also be difficult for my local board, state association, and national association to seek and obtain written consent from their respective membership in less than thirty days, in order to prevent an interruption in their ability to fax important information about upcoming conferences, promotions and other events. As a REALTOR® member, I rely on this timely and effective means of communication. It is unreasonable to think that an association can seek and obtain consent from almost 1 million members by August 25, 2003.

Today, there are over 2 million U.S. homes in the sales pipeline. Communicating via facsimiles is instrumental to ensuring a timely and successful transaction. An effective date of August 25, 2003, can potentially delay the majority of these transactions. To secure the appropriate written consent will significantly impact homebuyers and home sellers ability to move promptly in highly competitive housing markets. It will take time to adapt to this new requirement; businesses will have to amend current practices to gain this new consent from consumers and vendors.

It is in this regard that I urge the FCC to stay the effective date of the unanticipated and unprecedented fax rules for one year so that the required written consent form can be incorporated into the annual dues billing cycle. This is the most effective method for providing express written consent to NAR and my state and local REALTOR® associations. The stay is also essential in order to institute the required compliance procedures for fax communications with my clients and other businesses to ensure minimal disruptions in real estate transactions.

Thank you for your attention and consideration in this matter.

Singerely,

Ralph McGrew 1403 Dana Court Roseville, CA 95661-4750 Aug 07 2003 03:56:59 Via Fax -> FCC/OSEC 2024180187 1 TO Dear Ms. Marle Page 001 Of 001

August 06, 2003

Dear Ms. Marlene S. Dortoh:

RE: CG Docket No. 02-278

As member of the NATIONAL ASSOCIATION OF REALTORS® (NAR), I am writing to convey my wholehearted support for NAR s Request for Emergency Stay of Facsimile Advertisement Rules in the above referenced docket. The FCC s unexpected decision to remove the established business relationship exception from the unsolicited fax advertisements rules severely interferes with my day-to-day business as a real estate professional. Furthermore, the rules hinder the types of communication that my national, state and local REALTOR® associations routinely send via facsimile, including information on conventions or continuing education classes, which is a benefit that I expect as a dues paying member.

NAR in its Request for Emergency Stay of Facsimile Advertisement Rules has outlined the detrimental impact that these rules will have on its over 900,000 members and on the operations of over 3.5 million professionals in the real estate industry. I echo NAR s position and emphasize that it will be extremely difficult to obtain the required written consent to send faxes to my customers, vendors and real estate colleagues in the timeframe set by the FCC. It will also be difficult for my local board, state association, and national association to seek and obtain written consent from their respective membership in less than thirty days, in order to prevent an interruption in their ability to fax important information about upcoming conferences, promotions and other events. As a REALTOR® member, I rely on this timely and effective means of communication. It is unreasonable to think that an association can seek and obtain consent from almost 1 million members by August 25, 2003.

Today, there are over 2 million U.S. homes in the sales pipeline. Communicating via facsimiles is instrumental to ensuring a timely and successful transaction. An effective date of August 25, 2003, can potentially delay the majority of these transactions. To secure the appropriate written consent will significantly impact homebuyers and home sellers ability to move promptly in highly competitive housing markets. It will take time to adapt to this new requirement; businesses will have to amend current practices to gain this new consent from consumers and vendors.

It is in this regard that I urge the FCC to stay the effective date of the unanticipated and unprecedented fax rules for one year so that the required written consent form can be incorporated into the annual dues billing cycle. This is the most effective method for providing express written consent to NAR and my state and local REALTOR® associations. The stay is also essential in order to institute the required compliance procedures for fax communications with my clients and other businesses to ensure minimal disruptions in real estate transactions.

Thank you for your attention and consideration in this matter.

Sincerely,

Tim Jeffris 12800 Tree Ranch Rd. Ojai, CA 93023-9340

Dear Ms. Marlene S. Dortch:

RE: CG Docket No. 02-278

As member of the NATIONAL ASSOCIATION OF REALTORS® (NAR), I am writing to convey my wholehearted support for NAR s Request for Emergency Stay of Facsimile Advertisement Rules in the above referenced docket. The FCC s unexpected decision to remove the established business relationship exception from the unsolicited fax advertisements rules severely interferes with my day-to-day business as a real estate professional. Furthermore, the rules hinder the types of communication that my national, state and local REALTOR® associations routinely send via facsimile, including information on conventions or continuing education classes, which is a benefit that I expect as a dues paying member.

NAR in its Request for Emergency Stay of Facsimile Advertisement Rules has outlined the detrimental impact that these rules will have on its over 900,000 members and on the operations of over 3.5 million professionals in the real estate industry. I echo NAR s position and emphasize that it will be extremely difficult to obtain the required written consent to send faxes to my customers, vendors and real estate colleagues in the timeframe set by the FCC. It will also be difficult for my local board, state association, and national association to seek and obtain written consent from their respective membership in less than thirty days, in order to prevent an interruption in their ability to fax important information about upcoming conferences, promotions and other events. As a REALTOR® member, I rely on this timely and effective means of communication. It is unreasonable to think that an association can seek and obtain consent from almost 1 million members by August 25, 2003.

Today, there are over 2 million U.S. homes in the sales pipeline. Communicating via facsimiles is instrumental to ensuring a timely and successful transaction. An effective date of August 25, 2003, can potentially delay the majority of these transactions. To secure the appropriate written consent will significantly impact homebuyers and home sellers ability to move promptly in highly competitive housing markets. It will take time to adapt to this new requirement; businesses will have to amend current practices to gain this new consent from consumers and vendors.

It is in this regard that I urge the FCC to stay the effective date of the unanticipated and unprecedented fax rules for one year so that the required written consent form can be incorporated into the annual dues billing cycle. This is the most effective method for providing express written consent to NAR and my state and local REALTOR® associations. The stay is also essential in order to institute the required compliance procedures for fax communications with my clients and other businesses to ensure minimal disruptions in real estate transactions.

Thank you for your attention and consideration in this matter.

Sincerely,

Raymond Telles 1127 E. San Antonio Ave. El Paso, TX 79901-2622

Dear Ms. Marlene S. Dortch:

RE: CG Docket No. 02-278

As member of the NATIONAL ASSOCIATION OF REALTORS® (NAR), I am writing to convey my wholehearted support for NAR s Request for Emergency Stay of Facsimile Advertisement Rules in the above referenced docket. The FCC s unexpected decision to remove the established business relationship exception from the unsolicited fax advertisements rules severely interferes with my day-to-day business as a real estate professional. Furthermore, the rules hinder the types of communication that my national, state and local REALTOR® associations routinely send via facsimile, including information on conventions or continuing education classes, which is a benefit that I expect as a dues paying member.

NAR in its Request for Emergency Stay of Facsimile Advertisement Rules has outlined the detrimental impact that these rules will have on its over 900,000 members and on the operations of over 3.5 million professionals in the real estate industry. I echo NAR s position and emphasize that it will be extremely difficult to obtain the required written consent to send faxes to my customers, vendors and real estate colleagues in the timeframe set by the FCC. It will also be difficult for my local board, state association, and national association to seek and obtain written consent from their respective membership in less than thirty days, in order to prevent an interruption in their ability to fax important information about upcoming conferences, promotions and other events. As a REALTOR® member, I rely on this timely and effective means of communication. It is unreasonable to think that an association can seek and obtain consent from almost 1 million members by August 25, 2003.

Today, there are over 2 million U.S. homes in the sales pipeline. Communicating via facsimiles is instrumental to ensuring a timely and successful transaction. An effective date of August 25, 2003, can potentially delay the majority of these transactions. To secure the appropriate written consent will significantly impact homebuyers and home sellers ability to move promptly in highly competitive housing markets. It will take time to adapt to this new requirement; businesses will have to amend current practices to gain this new consent from consumers and vendors.

It is in this regard that I urge the FCC to stay the effective date of the unanticipated and unprecedented fax rules for one year so that the required written consent form can be incorporated into the annual dues billing cycle. This is the most effective method for providing express written consent to NAR and my state and local REALTOR® associations. The stay is also essential in order to institute the required compliance procedures for fax communications with my clients and other businesses to ensure minimal disruptions in real estate transactions.

Thank you for your attention and consideration in this matter.

Sincerely,

FERNANDO CERVANTES 717 Marbella Circle Chula Vista , CA 91910-6938 Aug 07 2003 03:59:55 Via Fax

-> FCC/OSEC 2024180187 1 TO Dear Ms. Marle Page 001 Of 001

August 06, 2003

Dear Ms. Marlene S. Dortch:

RE: CG Docket No. 02-278

As member of the NATIONAL ASSOCIATION OF REALTORS® (NAR), I am writing to convey my wholehearted support for NAR s Request for Emergency Stay of Facsimile Advertisement Rules in the above referenced docket. The FCC s unexpected decision to remove the established business relationship exception from the unsolicited fax advertisements rules severely interferes with my day-to-day business as a real estate professional. Furthermore, the rules hinder the types of communication that my national, state and local REALTOR® associations routinely send via facsimile, including information on conventions or continuing education classes, which is a benefit that I expect as a dues paying member.

NAR in its Request for Emergency Stay of Facsimile Advertisement Rules has outlined the detrimental impact that these rules will have on its over 900,000 members and on the operations of over 3.5 million professionals in the real estate industry. I echo NAR s position and emphasize that it will be extremely difficult to obtain the required written consent to send faxes to my customers, vendors and real estate colleagues in the timeframe set by the FCC. It will also be difficult for my local board, state association, and national association to seek and obtain written consent from their respective membership in less than thirty days, in order to prevent an interruption in their ability to fax important information about upcoming conferences, promotions and other events. As a REALTOR® member, I rely on this timely and effective means of communication. It is unreasonable to think that an association can seek and obtain consent from almost 1 million members by August 25, 2003.

Today, there are over 2 million U.S. homes in the sales pipeline. Communicating via facsimiles is instrumental to ensuring a timely and successful transaction. An effective date of August 25, 2003, can potentially delay the majority of these transactions. To secure the appropriate written consent will significantly impact homebuyers and home sellers ability to move promptly in highly competitive housing markets. It will take time to adapt to this new requirement; businesses will have to amend current practices to gain this new consent from consumers and vendors.

It is in this regard that I urge the FCC to stay the effective date of the unanticipated and unprecedented fax rules for one year so that the required written consent form can be incorporated into the annual dues billing cycle. This is the most effective method for providing express written consent to NAR and my state and local REALTOR® associations. The stay is also essential in order to institute the required compliance procedures for fax communications with my clients and other businesses to ensure minimal disruptions in real estate transactions.

Thank you for your attention and consideration in this matter.

Sincerely,

M. W. Resnick 1903 Lilac Ct. Richardson, TX

Dear Ms. Marlene S. Dortch:

RE: CG Docket No. 02-278

As member of the NATIONAL ASSOCIATION OF REALTORS® (NAR), I am writing to convey my wholehearted support for NAR s Request for Emergency Stay of Facsimile Advertisement Rules in the above referenced docket. The FCC s unexpected decision to remove the established business relationship exception from the unsolicited fax advertisements rules severely interferes with my day-to-day business as a real estate professional. Furthermore, the rules hinder the types of communication that my national, state and local REALTOR® associations routinely send via facsimile, including information on conventions or continuing education classes, which is a benefit that I expect as a dues paying member.

NAR in its Request for Emergency Stay of Facsimile Advertisement Rules has outlined the detrimental impact that these rules will have on its over 900,000 members and on the operations of over 3.5 million professionals in the real estate industry. I echo NAR s position and emphasize that it will be extremely difficult to obtain the required written consent to send faxes to my customers, vendors and real estate colleagues in the timeframe set by the FCC. It will also be difficult for my local board, state association, and national association to seek and obtain written consent from their respective membership in less than thirty days, in order to prevent an interruption in their ability to fax important information about upcoming conferences, promotions and other events. As a REALTOR® member, I rely on this timely and effective means of communication. It is unreasonable to think that an association can seek and obtain consent from almost 1 million members by August 25, 2003.

Today, there are over 2 million U.S. homes in the sales pipeline. Communicating via facsimiles is instrumental to ensuring a timely and successful transaction. An effective date of August 25, 2003, can potentially delay the majority of these transactions. To secure the appropriate written consent will significantly impact homebuyers and home sellers ability to move promptly in highly competitive housing markets. It will take time to adapt to this new requirement; businesses will have to amend current practices to gain this new consent from consumers and vendors.

It is in this regard that I urge the FCC to stay the effective date of the unanticipated and unprecedented fax rules for one year so that the required written consent form can be incorporated into the annual dues billing cycle. This is the most effective method for providing express written consent to NAR and my state and local REALTOR® associations. The stay is also essential in order to institute the required compliance procedures for fax communications with my clients and other businesses to ensure minimal disruptions in real estate transactions.

Thank you for your attention and consideration in this matter.

Sincerely,

Ron Werner 440 27th St Manhattan Beach, CA 90266-2119

Dear Ms. Marlene S. Dortoh:

RE: CG Docket No. 02-278

As member of the NATIONAL ASSOCIATION OF REALTORS® (NAR), I am writing to convey my wholehearted support for NAR s Request for Emergency Stay of Facsimile Advertisement Rules in the above referenced docket. The FCC s unexpected decision to remove the established business relationship exception from the unsolicited fax advertisements rules severely interferes with my day-to-day business as a real estate professional. Furthermore, the rules hinder the types of communication that my national, state and local REALTOR® associations routinely send via facsimile, including information on conventions or continuing education classes, which is a benefit that I expect as a dues paying member.

NAR in its Request for Emergency Stay of Facsimile Advertisement Rules has outlined the detrimental impact that these rules will have on its over 900,000 members and on the operations of over 3.5 million professionals in the real estate industry. I echo NAR s position and emphasize that it will be extremely difficult to obtain the required written consent to send faxes to my customers, vendors and real estate colleagues in the timeframe set by the FCC. It will also be difficult for my local board, state association, and national association to seek and obtain written consent from their respective membership in less than thirty days, in order to prevent an interruption in their ability to fax important information about upcoming conferences, promotions and other events. As a REALTOR® member, I rely on this timely and effective means of communication. It is unreasonable to think that an association can seek and obtain consent from almost 1 million members by August 25, 2003.

Today, there are over 2 million U.S. homes in the sales pipeline. Communicating via facsimiles is instrumental to ensuring a timely and successful transaction. An effective date of August 25, 2003, can potentially delay the majority of these transactions. To secure the appropriate written consent will significantly impact homebuyers and home sellers ability to move promptly in highly competitive housing markets. It will take time to adapt to this new requirement; businesses will have to amend current practices to gain this new consent from consumers and vendors.

It is in this regard that I urge the FCC to stay the effective date of the unanticipated and unprecedented fax rules for one year so that the required written consent form can be incorporated into the annual dues billing cycle. This is the most effective method for providing express written consent to NAR and my state and local REALTOR® associations. The stay is also essential in order to institute the required compliance procedures for fax communications with my clients and other businesses to ensure minimal disruptions in real estate transactions.

Thank you for your attention and consideration in this matter.

Sincerely,

MONICA DAVIS
420 WESTVIEW DRIVE
FRANKLIN, KY 42134-1557

Aug 07 2003 04:01:46 Via Fax -> FCC/OSEC 2024180187 1 TO Dear Ms. Marle Page 001 Of 001

August 06, 2003

Dear Ms. Marlene S. Dortch:

RE: CG Docket No. 02-278

As member of the NATIONAL ASSOCIATION OF REALTORS® (NAR), I am writing to convey my wholehearted support for NAR s Request for Emergency Stay of Facsimile Advertisement Rules in the above referenced docket. The FCC s unexpected decision to remove the established business relationship exception from the unsolicited fax advertisements rules severely interferes with my day-to-day business as a real estate professional. Furthermore, the rules hinder the types of communication that my national, state and local REALTOR® associations routinely send via facsimile, including information on conventions or continuing education classes, which is a benefit that I expect as a dues paying member.

NAR in its Request for Emergency Stay of Facsimile Advertisement Rules has outlined the detrimental impact that these rules will have on its over 900,000 members and on the operations of over 3.5 million professionals in the real estate industry. I echo NAR s position and emphasize that it will be extremely difficult to obtain the required written consent to send faxes to my customers, vendors and real estate colleagues in the timeframe set by the FCC. It will also be difficult for my local board, state association, and national association to seek and obtain written consent from their respective membership in less than thirty days, in order to prevent an interruption in their ability to fax important information about upcoming conferences, promotions and other events. As a REALTOR® member, I rely on this timely and effective means of communication. It is unreasonable to think that an association can seek and obtain consent from almost 1 million members by August 25, 2003.

Today, there are over 2 million U.S. homes in the sales pipeline. Communicating via facsimiles is instrumental to ensuring a timely and successful transaction. An effective date of August 25, 2003, can potentially delay the majority of these transactions. To secure the appropriate written consent will significantly impact homebuyers and home sellers ability to move promptly in highly competitive housing markets. It will take time to adapt to this new requirement; businesses will have to amend current practices to gain this new consent from consumers and vendors.

It is in this regard that I urge the FCC to stay the effective date of the unanticipated and unprecedented fax rules for one year so that the required written consent form can be incorporated into the annual dues billing cycle. This is the most effective method for providing express written consent to NAR and my state and local REALTOR® associations. The stay is also essential in order to institute the required compliance procedures for fax communications with my clients and other businesses to ensure minimal disruptions in real estate transactions.

Thank you for your attention and consideration in this matter.

Sincerely,

Gail A. Fugle 224 Hampton Bluff Drive Charleston, SC 29414-9010

Dear Ms. Marlene S. Dortch:

RE: CG Docket No. 02-278

As member of the NATIONAL ASSOCIATION OF REALTORS® (NAR), I am writing to convey my wholehearted support for NAR s Request for Emergency Stay of Facsimile Advertisement Rules in the above referenced docket. The FCC s unexpected decision to remove the established business relationship exception from the unsolicited fax advertisements rules severely interferes with my day-to-day business as a real estate professional. Furthermore, the rules hinder the types of communication that my national, state and local REALTOR® associations routinely send via facsimile, including information on conventions or continuing education classes, which is a benefit that I expect as a dues paying member.

NAR in its Request for Emergency Stay of Facsimile Advertisement Rules has outlined the detrimental impact that these rules will have on its over 900,000 members and on the operations of over 3.5 million professionals in the real estate industry. I echo NAR s position and emphasize that it will be extremely difficult to obtain the required written consent to send faxes to my customers, vendors and real estate colleagues in the timeframe set by the FCC. It will also be difficult for my local board, state association, and national association to seek and obtain written consent from their respective membership in less than thirty days, in order to prevent an interruption in their ability to fax important information about upcoming conferences, promotions and other events. As a REALTOR® member, I rely on this timely and effective means of communication. It is unreasonable to think that an association can seek and obtain consent from almost 1 million members by August 25, 2003.

Today, there are over 2 million U.S. homes in the sales pipeline. Communicating via facsimiles is instrumental to ensuring a timely and successful transaction. An effective date of August 25, 2003, can potentially delay the majority of these transactions. To secure the appropriate written consent will significantly impact homebuyers and home sellers ability to move promptly in highly competitive housing markets. It will take time to adapt to this new requirement; businesses will have to amend current practices to gain this new consent from consumers and vendors.

It is in this regard that I urge the FCC to stay the effective date of the unanticipated and unprecedented fax rules for one year so that the required written consent form can be incorporated into the annual dues billing cycle. This is the most effective method for providing express written consent to NAR and my state and local REALTOR® associations. The stay is also essential in order to institute the required compliance procedures for fax communications with my clients and other businesses to ensure minimal disruptions in real estate transactions.

Thank you for your attention and consideration in this matter.

Sincerely,

Roy Poe 3103 Timberline Drive Grapevine, TX 76051-3810

Dear Ms. Marlene S. Dortoh:

RE: CG Docket No. 02-278

As member of the NATIONAL ASSOCIATION OF REALTORS® (NAR), I am writing to convey my wholehearted support for NAR s Request for Emergency Stay of Facsimile Advertisement Rules in the above referenced docket. The FCC s unexpected decision to remove the established business relationship exception from the unsolicited fax advertisements rules severely interferes with my day-to-day business as a real estate professional. Furthermore, the rules hinder the types of communication that my national, state and local REALTOR® associations routinely send via facsimile, including information on conventions or continuing education classes, which is a benefit that I expect as a dues paying member.

NAR in its Request for Emergency Stay of Facsimile Advertisement Rules has outlined the detrimental impact that these rules will have on its over 900,000 members and on the operations of over 3.5 million professionals in the real estate industry. I echo NAR s position and emphasize that it will be extremely difficult to obtain the required written consent to send faxes to my customers, vendors and real estate colleagues in the timeframe set by the FCC. It will also be difficult for my local board, state association, and national association to seek and obtain written consent from their respective membership in less than thirty days, in order to prevent an interruption in their ability to fax important information about upcoming conferences, promotions and other events. As a REALTOR® member, I rely on this timely and effective means of communication. It is unreasonable to think that an association can seek and obtain consent from almost 1 million members by August 25, 2003.

Today, there are over 2 million U.S. homes in the sales pipeline. Communicating via facsimiles is instrumental to ensuring a timely and successful transaction. An effective date of August 25, 2003, can potentially delay the majority of these transactions. To secure the appropriate written consent will significantly impact homebuyers and home sellers ability to move promptly in highly competitive housing markets. It will take time to adapt to this new requirement; businesses will have to amend current practices to gain this new consent from consumers and vendors.

It is in this regard that I urge the FCC to stay the effective date of the unanticipated and unprecedented fax rules for one year so that the required written consent form can be incorporated into the annual dues billing cycle. This is the most effective method for providing express written consent to NAR and my state and local REALTOR® associations. The stay is also essential in order to institute the required compliance procedures for fax communications with my clients and other businesses to ensure minimal disruptions in real estate transactions.

Thank you for your attention and consideration in this matter. As the Association Executive for the Northeast Tennessee Association of REALTORS, this new situation will be a hardship. We appreciate all you can do to help us continue to work with our established business relationship.

Sincerely,

Edithann Buckles-Wadewitz 2016 Hwy 75 Ste 1 Blountville, TN 37617-5831 Aug 07 2003 04:03:49 Via Fax -> FCC/OSEC 2024180187 1 TO Dear Ms. Marle Page 001 Of 001

August 06, 2003

Dear Ms. Marlene S. Dortch:

RE: CG Docket No. 02-278

As member of the NATIONAL ASSOCIATION OF REALTORS® (NAR), I am writing to convey my wholehearted support for NAR s Request for Emergency Stay of Facsimile Advertisement Rules in the above referenced docket. The FCC s unexpected decision to remove the established business relationship exception from the unsolicited fax advertisements rules severely interferes with my day-to-day business as a real estate professional. Furthermore, the rules hinder the types of communication that my national, state and local REALTOR® associations routinely send via facsimile, including information on conventions or continuing education classes, which is a benefit that I expect as a dues paying member.

NAR in its Request for Emergency Stay of Facsimile Advertisement Rules has outlined the detrimental impact that these rules will have on its over 900,000 members and on the operations of over 3.5 million professionals in the real estate industry. I echo NAR s position and emphasize that it will be extremely difficult to obtain the required written consent to send faxes to my customers, vendors and real estate colleagues in the timeframe set by the FCC. It will also be difficult for my local board, state association, and national association to seek and obtain written consent from their respective membership in less than thirty days, in order to prevent an interruption in their ability to fax important information about upcoming conferences, promotions and other events. As a REALTOR® member, I rely on this timely and effective means of communication. It is unreasonable to think that an association can seek and obtain consent from almost 1 million members by August 25, 2003.

Today, there are over 2 million U.S. homes in the sales pipeline. Communicating via facsimiles is instrumental to ensuring a timely and successful transaction. An effective date of August 25, 2003, can potentially delay the majority of these transactions. To secure the appropriate written consent will significantly impact homebuyers and home sellers ability to move promptly in highly competitive housing markets. It will take time to adapt to this new requirement; businesses will have to amend current practices to gain this new consent from consumers and vendors.

It is in this regard that I urge the FCC to stay the effective date of the unanticipated and unprecedented fax rules for one year so that the required written consent form can be incorporated into the annual dues billing cycle. This is the most effective method for providing express written consent to NAR and my state and local REALTOR® associations. The stay is also essential in order to institute the required compliance procedures for fax communications with my clients and other businesses to ensure minimal disruptions in real estate transactions.

Thank you for your attention and consideration in this matter.

Sincerely,

Marla Johnson 2762 Lynn Street Frederick, MD 21704-8001

Dear Ms. Marlene S. Dortch:

RE: CG Docket No. 02-278

As member of the NATIONAL ASSOCIATION OF REALTORS® (NAR), I am writing to convey my wholehearted support for NAR s Request for Emergency Stay of Facsimile Advertisement Rules in the above referenced docket. The FCC s unexpected decision to remove the established business relationship exception from the unsolicited fax advertisements rules severely interferes with my day-to-day business as a real estate professional. Furthermore, the rules hinder the types of communication that my national, state and local REALTOR® associations routinely send via facsimile, including information on conventions or continuing education classes, which is a benefit that I expect as a dues paying member.

NAR in its Request for Emergency Stay of Facsimile Advertisement Rules has outlined the detrimental impact that these rules will have on its over 900,000 members and on the operations of over 3.5 million professionals in the real estate industry. I echo NAR s position and emphasize that it will be extremely difficult to obtain the required written consent to send faxes to my customers, vendors and real estate colleagues in the timeframe set by the FCC. It will also be difficult for my local board, state association, and national association to seek and obtain written consent from their respective membership in less than thirty days, in order to prevent an interruption in their ability to fax important information about upcoming conferences, promotions and other events. As a REALTOR® member, I rely on this timely and effective means of communication. It is unreasonable to think that an association can seek and obtain consent from almost 1 million members by August 25, 2003.

Today, there are over 2 million U.S. homes in the sales pipeline. Communicating via facsimiles is instrumental to ensuring a timely and successful transaction. An effective date of August 25, 2003, can potentially delay the majority of these transactions. To secure the appropriate written consent will significantly impact homebuyers and home sellers ability to move promptly in highly competitive housing markets. It will take time to adapt to this new requirement; businesses will have to amend current practices to gain this new consent from consumers and vendors.

It is in this regard that I urge the FCC to stay the effective date of the unanticipated and unprecedented fax rules for one year so that the required written consent form can be incorporated into the annual dues billing cycle. This is the most effective method for providing express written consent to NAR and my state and local REALTOR® associations. The stay is also essential in order to institute the required compliance procedures for fax communications with my clients and other businesses to ensure minimal disruptions in real estate transactions.

Thank you for your attention and consideration in this matter.

Sincerely,

Vickie Samavarchian 234 E. Campbell Ave. Campbell, CA 95008-2022

Dear Ms. Marlene S. Dortch:

RE: CG Docket No. 02-278

As member of the NATIONAL ASSOCIATION OF REALTORS® (NAR), I am writing to convey my wholehearted support for NAR s Request for Emergency Stay of Facsimile Advertisement Rules in the above referenced docket. The FCC s unexpected decision to remove the established business relationship exception from the unsolicited fax advertisements rules severely interferes with my day-to-day business as a real estate professional. Furthermore, the rules hinder the types of communication that my national, state and local REALTOR® associations routinely send via facsimile, including information on conventions or continuing education classes, which is a benefit that I expect as a dues paying member.

NAR in its Request for Emergency Stay of Facsimile Advertisement Rules has outlined the detrimental impact that these rules will have on its over 900,000 members and on the operations of over 3.5 million professionals in the real estate industry. I echo NAR s position and emphasize that it will be extremely difficult to obtain the required written consent to send faxes to my customers, vendors and real estate colleagues in the timeframe set by the FCC. It will also be difficult for my local board, state association, and national association to seek and obtain written consent from their respective membership in less than thirty days, in order to prevent an interruption in their ability to fax important information about upcoming conferences, promotions and other events. As a REALTOR® member, I rely on this timely and effective means of communication. It is unreasonable to think that an association can seek and obtain consent from almost 1 million members by August 25, 2003.

Today, there are over 2 million U.S. homes in the sales pipeline. Communicating via facsimiles is instrumental to ensuring a timely and successful transaction. An effective date of August 25, 2003, can potentially delay the majority of these transactions. To secure the appropriate written consent will significantly impact homebuyers and home sellers ability to move promptly in highly competitive housing markets. It will take time to adapt to this new requirement; businesses will have to amend current practices to gain this new consent from consumers and vendors.

It is in this regard that I urge the FCC to stay the effective date of the unanticipated and unprecedented fax rules for one year so that the required written consent form can be incorporated into the annual dues billing cycle. This is the most effective method for providing express written consent to NAR and my state and local REALTOR® associations. The stay is also essential in order to institute the required compliance procedures for fax communications with my clients and other businesses to ensure minimal disruptions in real estate transactions.

Thank you for your attention and consideration in this matter.

Sincerely,

Sally Gartner
Sales Associate
1701 NE 1st St
Cape Coral, FL 33909-2719

Aug 07 2003 04:06:39 Via Fax

-> FCC/OSEC 2024180187 1 TO Dear Ms. Marle Page 001 Of 001

August 06, 2003

Dear Ms. Marlene S. Dortch:

RE: CG Docket No. 02-278

As member of the NATIONAL ASSOCIATION OF REALTORS® (NAR), I am writing to convey my wholehearted support for NAR s Request for Emergency Stay of Facsimile Advertisement Rules in the above referenced docket. The FCC s unexpected decision to remove the established business relationship exception from the unsolicited fax advertisements rules severely interferes with my day-to-day business as a real estate professional. Furthermore, the rules hinder the types of communication that my national, state and local REALTOR® associations routinely send via facsimile, including information on conventions or continuing education classes, which is a benefit that I expect as a dues paying member.

NAR in its Request for Emergency Stay of Facsimile Advertisement Rules has outlined the detrimental impact that these rules will have on its over 900,000 members and on the operations of over 3.5 million professionals in the real estate industry. I echo NAR s position and emphasize that it will be extremely difficult to obtain the required written consent to send faxes to my customers, vendors and real estate colleagues in the timeframe set by the FCC. It will also be difficult for my local board, state association, and national association to seek and obtain written consent from their respective membership in less than thirty days, in order to prevent an interruption in their ability to fax important information about upcoming conferences, promotions and other events. As a REALTOR® member, I rely on this timely and effective means of communication. It is unreasonable to think that an association can seek and obtain consent from almost 1 million members by August 25, 2003.

Today, there are over 2 million U.S. homes in the sales pipeline. Communicating via facsimiles is instrumental to ensuring a timely and successful transaction. An effective date of August 25, 2003, can potentially delay the majority of these transactions. To secure the appropriate written consent will significantly impact homebuyers and home sellers ability to move promptly in highly competitive housing markets. It will take time to adapt to this new requirement; businesses will have to amend current practices to gain this new consent from consumers and vendors.

It is in this regard that I urge the FCC to stay the effective date of the unanticipated and unprecedented fax rules for one year so that the required written consent form can be incorporated into the annual dues billing cycle. This is the most effective method for providing express written consent to NAR and my state and local REALTOR® associations. The stay is also essential in order to institute the required compliance procedures for fax communications with my clients and other businesses to ensure minimal disruptions in real estate transactions.

Thank you for your attention and consideration in this matter.

Sincerely,

salvatore costanza
295 long hill rd.
Groton, CT 06340-3716

Dear Ms. Marlene S. Dortch:

RE: CG Docket No. 02-278

As member of the NATIONAL ASSOCIATION OF REALTORS® (NAR), I am writing to convey my wholehearted support for NAR s Request for Emergency Stay of Facsimile Advertisement Rules in the above referenced docket. The FCC s unexpected decision to remove the established business relationship exception from the unsolicited fax advertisements rules severely interferes with my day-to-day business as a real estate professional. Furthermore, the rules hinder the types of communication that my national, state and local REALTOR® associations routinely send via facsimile, including information on conventions or continuing education classes, which is a benefit that I expect as a dues paying member.

NAR in its Request for Emergency Stay of Facsimile Advertisement Rules has outlined the detrimental impact that these rules will have on its over 900,000 members and on the operations of over 3.5 million professionals in the real estate industry. I echo NAR s position and emphasize that it will be extremely difficult to obtain the required written consent to send faxes to my customers, vendors and real estate colleagues in the timeframe set by the FCC. It will also be difficult for my local board, state association, and national association to seek and obtain written consent from their respective membership in less than thirty days, in order to prevent an interruption in their ability to fax important information about upcoming conferences, promotions and other events. As a REALTOR® member, I rely on this timely and effective means of communication. It is unreasonable to think that an association can seek and obtain consent from almost 1 million members by August 25, 2003.

Today, there are over 2 million U.S. homes in the sales pipeline. Communicating via facsimiles is instrumental to ensuring a timely and successful transaction. An effective date of August 25, 2003, can potentially delay the majority of these transactions. To secure the appropriate written consent will significantly impact homebuyers and home sellers ability to move promptly in highly competitive housing markets. It will take time to adapt to this new requirement; businesses will have to amend current practices to gain this new consent from consumers and vendors.

It is in this regard that I urge the FCC to stay the effective date of the unanticipated and unprecedented fax rules for one year so that the required written consent form can be incorporated into the annual dues billing cycle. This is the most effective method for providing express written consent to NAR and my state and local REALTOR® associations. The stay is also essential in order to institute the required compliance procedures for fax communications with my clients and other businesses to ensure minimal disruptions in real estate transactions.

Thank you for your attention and consideration in this matter. Please Help !!!

Sincerely,

Sonia Hernandez 1142 Hylan Blvd. STATEN ISLAND, NY 10305 Aug 07 2003 04:08:29 Via Fax -> FCC/OSEC 2024180187 1 TO Dear Ms. Marle Page 001 Of 001

August 06, 2003

Dear Ms. Marlene S. Dortoh:

RE: CG Docket No. 02-278

As member of the NATIONAL ASSOCIATION OF REALTORS® (NAR), I am writing to convey my wholehearted support for NAR s Request for Emergency Stay of Facsimile Advertisement Rules in the above referenced docket. The FCC s unexpected decision to remove the established business relationship exception from the unsolicited fax advertisements rules severely interferes with my day-to-day business as a real estate professional. Furthermore, the rules hinder the types of communication that my national, state and local REALTOR® associations routinely send via facsimile, including information on conventions or continuing education classes, which is a benefit that I expect as a dues paying member.

NAR in its Request for Emergency Stay of Facsimile Advertisement Rules has outlined the detrimental impact that these rules will have on its over 900,000 members and on the operations of over 3.5 million professionals in the real estate industry. I echo NAR s position and emphasize that it will be extremely difficult to obtain the required written consent to send faxes to my customers, vendors and real estate colleagues in the timeframe set by the FCC. It will also be difficult for my local board, state association, and national association to seek and obtain written consent from their respective membership in less than thirty days, in order to prevent an interruption in their ability to fax important information about upcoming conferences, promotions and other events. As a REALTOR® member, I rely on this timely and effective means of communication. It is unreasonable to think that an association can seek and obtain consent from almost 1 million members by August 25, 2003.

Today, there are over 2 million U.S. homes in the sales pipeline. Communicating via facsimiles is instrumental to ensuring a timely and successful transaction. An effective date of August 25, 2003, can potentially delay the majority of these transactions. To secure the appropriate written consent will significantly impact homebuyers and home sellers ability to move promptly in highly competitive housing markets. It will take time to adapt to this new requirement; businesses will have to amend current practices to gain this new consent from consumers and vendors.

It is in this regard that I urge the FCC to stay the effective date of the unanticipated and unprecedented fax rules for one year so that the required written consent form can be incorporated into the annual dues billing cycle. This is the most effective method for providing express written consent to NAR and my state and local REALTOR® associations. The stay is also essential in order to institute the required compliance procedures for fax communications with my clients and other businesses to ensure minimal disruptions in real estate transactions.

Thank you for your attention and consideration in this matter.

Sincerely,

DANIEL C. HENDRICK 415 S BEACON RD GRAND HAVEN, MI 49417-1902

Dear Ms. Marlene S. Dortch:

RE: CG Docket No. 02-278

As member of the NATIONAL ASSOCIATION OF REALTORS® (NAR), I am writing to convey my wholehearted support for NAR s Request for Emergency Stay of Facsimile Advertisement Rules in the above referenced docket. The FCC s unexpected decision to remove the established business relationship exception from the unsolicited fax advertisements rules severely interferes with my day-to-day business as a real estate professional. Furthermore, the rules hinder the types of communication that my national, state and local REALTOR® associations routinely send via facsimile, including information on conventions or continuing education classes, which is a benefit that I expect as a dues paying member.

NAR in its Request for Emergency Stay of Facsimile Advertisement Rules has outlined the detrimental impact that these rules will have on its over 900,000 members and on the operations of over 3.5 million professionals in the real estate industry. I echo NAR s position and emphasize that it will be extremely difficult to obtain the required written consent to send faxes to my customers, vendors and real estate colleagues in the timeframe set by the FCC. It will also be difficult for my local board, state association, and national association to seek and obtain written consent from their respective membership in less than thirty days, in order to prevent an interruption in their ability to fax important information about upcoming conferences, promotions and other events. As a REALTOR® member, I rely on this timely and effective means of communication. It is unreasonable to think that an association can seek and obtain consent from almost 1 million members by August 25, 2003.

Today, there are over 2 million U.S. homes in the sales pipeline. Communicating via facsimiles is instrumental to ensuring a timely and successful transaction. An effective date of August 25, 2003, can potentially delay the majority of these transactions. To secure the appropriate written consent will significantly impact homebuyers and home sellers ability to move promptly in highly competitive housing markets. It will take time to adapt to this new requirement; businesses will have to amend current practices to gain this new consent from consumers and vendors.

It is in this regard that I urge the FCC to stay the effective date of the unanticipated and unprecedented fax rules for one year so that the required written consent form can be incorporated into the annual dues billing cycle. This is the most effective method for providing express written consent to NAR and my state and local REALTOR® associations. The stay is also essential in order to institute the required compliance procedures for fax communications with my clients and other businesses to ensure minimal disruptions in real estate transactions.

The actions to protect the public has just gone too far. Your interests are curtailing businesses from communicating valuable information and will only incur more business failures because necessary information does not flow freely in the marketplace! Please reconsider these issues and place yourself in the shoes of the small business man and the ability to convey information to others!

Thank you for your attention and consideration in this matter.

Sincerely,

Norma D. Schmidt 10452 SE 23rd St. Bellevue, WA 98004-7207 Aug 07 2003 04:10:29 Via Fax -> FCC/OSEC 2024180187 1 TO Dear Ms. Marle Page 001 Of 001

August 06, 2003

Dear Ms. Marlene S. Dortoh:

RE: CG Docket No. 02-278

As member of the NATIONAL ASSOCIATION OF REALTORS® (NAR), I am writing to convey my wholehearted support for NAR s Request for Emergency Stay of Facsimile Advertisement Rules in the above referenced docket. The FCC s unexpected decision to remove the established business relationship exception from the unsolicited fax advertisements rules severely interferes with my day-to-day business as a real estate professional. Furthermore, the rules hinder the types of communication that my national, state and local REALTOR® associations routinely send via facsimile, including information on conventions or continuing education classes, which is a benefit that I expect as a dues paying member.

NAR in its Request for Emergency Stay of Facsimile Advertisement Rules has outlined the detrimental impact that these rules will have on its over 900,000 members and on the operations of over 3.5 million professionals in the real estate industry. I echo NAR s position and emphasize that it will be extremely difficult to obtain the required written consent to send faxes to my customers, vendors and real estate colleagues in the timeframe set by the FCC. It will also be difficult for my local board, state association, and national association to seek and obtain written consent from their respective membership in less than thirty days, in order to prevent an interruption in their ability to fax important information about upcoming conferences, promotions and other events. As a REALTOR® member, I rely on this timely and effective means of communication. It is unreasonable to think that an association can seek and obtain consent from almost 1 million members by August 25, 2003.

Today, there are over 2 million U.S. homes in the sales pipeline. Communicating via facsimiles is instrumental to ensuring a timely and successful transaction. An effective date of August 25, 2003, can potentially delay the majority of these transactions. To secure the appropriate written consent will significantly impact homebuyers and home sellers ability to move promptly in highly competitive housing markets. It will take time to adapt to this new requirement; businesses will have to amend current practices to gain this new consent from consumers and vendors.

It is in this regard that I urge the FCC to stay the effective date of the unanticipated and unprecedented fax rules for one year so that the required written consent form can be incorporated into the annual dues billing cycle. This is the most effective method for providing express written consent to NAR and my state and local REALTOR® associations. The stay is also essential in order to institute the required compliance procedures for fax communications with my clients and other businesses to ensure minimal disruptions in real estate transactions.

Thank you for your attention and consideration in this matter.

Sincerely,

ROBERT MAGDA PO BOX 100 N. VASSALBORO, ME 04962-100